

Air Quality Permitting Statement of Basis

May 6, 2005

Tier II Operating Permit No. T2-040323

Nu-West Industries, Inc., dba Agrium, Conda Phosphate Operations, Dry Valley Mine Soda Springs

Facility ID No. 029-00027

Prepared by:

Ken Hanna, Permit Writer AIR QUALITY DIVISION

FINAL PERMIT

Table of Contents

| ACRO | NYMS, UNITS, AND CHEMICAL NOMENCLATURE | 3 |
|-------|--|----|
| 1. | PURPOSE | 4 |
| 2. | FACILITY DESCRIPTION | 4 |
| 3. | FACILITY / AREA CLASSIFICATION | 4 |
| 4. | APPLICATION SCOPE | 4 |
| 5. | PERMIT ANALYSIS | 5 |
| 6. | PERMIT CONDITIONS | 8 |
| 7. | PUBLIC COMMENT | 9 |
| 8. | RECOMMENDATION | 9 |
| APPEN | NDIX A - EMISSIONS INVENTORY | 10 |
| APPEN | NDIX B - MODELING REVIEW | 11 |
| APPEN | NDIX C - AIRS INFORMATION | 12 |

Acronyms, Units, and Chemical Nomenclature

acfm actual cubic feet per minute

AIRS Aerometric Information Retrieval System

AQCR Air Quality Control Region

ASTM American Society for Testing and Materials

Btu British thermal unit

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CO carbon monoxide

DEQ Department of Environmental Quality

dscf dry standard cubic feet

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

gr grain (1 lb = 7,000 grains) HAPs hazardous air pollutants

hp horsepower

IDAPA A numbering designation for all administrative rules in Idaho promulgated in accordance with the

Idaho Administrative Procedures Act

km kilometer

lb/hr pound per hour

MMBtu million British thermal units

NESHAP Nation Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

NO_x nitrogen oxides

NSPS New Source Performance Standards

PM particulate matter

PM₁₀ particulate Matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers

ppm parts per million

PSD Prevention of Significant Deterioration

PTC Permit to Construct
PTE potential to emit

Rules Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho

SIC Standard Industrial Classification

SO₂ sulfur dioxide T/yr tons per year

μg/m³ micrograms per cubic meter
UTM Universal Transverse Mercator
VOC volatile organic compound

1. PURPOSE

The purpose for this memorandum is to satisfy the requirements of IDAPA 58.01.01 Section 404.04, Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho (Rules) for Tier II operating permits.

2. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The Dry Valley Mine, owned and operated by permittee Nu-West Industries, Inc., dba Agrium Conda Phosphate Operations (Agrium), consists of two existing or proposed open pits C & D, which are or will be mined using excavators, 150-ton dump trucks and other support equipment. The Dry Valley Mine operations addressed by this permit also include the backfilling and reclamation work to be performed by Agrium in the Pit B area, as well as the office and maintenance building and related adjacent support facilities. The overburden will either be placed in the mined-out pits or in external overburden dumps. The mined phosphate ore will be hauled to a stockpile area next to the railcar loading area which is generally called a tipple. Next, the phosphate ore is moved from the stockpile area to the conveyor system with front-end loaders and bulldozers. If needed, the rotary impact crusher and associated material handling equipment may be used to reduce the size of the ore. The ore will then pass over a 4-inch screen before being loaded into railcars. A boiler is used to provide space heating for the offices and shop. Specific information is provided below in Section 5.

3. FACILITY / AREA CLASSIFICATION

The Dry Valley Mine is not a major facility as defined in IDAPA 58.01.01.008.10. This mine is not a designated facility as defined in IDAPA 58.01.01.006.27 and it is not a phosphate rock processing plant. The Dry Valley Mine is classified as a minor source because the actual and potential emissions of regulated air pollutants are less than 100 T/yr.

The facility is located within AQCR 61 and UTM zone 12. The facility is located in Caribou County which is designated as attainment or unclassifiable for all criteria pollutants (CO, NO_x, SO₂, lead, and ozone).

The AIRS information provided in Appendix C defines the classification for each regulated air pollutant at the Dry Valley Mine. The AIRS facility classification was changed to B. This required information is entered into the EPA AIRS database.

4. APPLICATION SCOPE

This permit action is for the issuance of a new Tier II permit, due to the a transfer of ownership of the noted portions of the Dry Valley Mine from Astaris Productions, LLC (Astaris) to Agrium. This permit was originally issued to Astaris on June 13, 2002. On May 24, 2004, DEQ received a letter from Agrium and Astaris which indicates that Astaris has transferred ownership of the Dry Valley Mine to Agrium. The companies jointly requested DEQ to recognize the change in ownership and to change the Tier II operating permit to recognize Agrium as the permittee.

4.1 Application Chronology

| May 24, 2004 | DEQ received notification of the ownership change |
|-------------------|--|
| June 10, 2004 | DEQ initially declared the application was complete |
| August 11, 2004 | DEQ requested supplemental application information from Agrium |
| December 1, 2004 | DEQ received Tier II permit application information |
| December 30, 2004 | DEQ declared the application was complete |

5. PERMIT ANALYSIS

This section of the Statement of Basis describes the regulatory requirements for this Tier II permit.

5.1 Equipment List

Boiler

Manufacturer:

Burnham, Model No. 4FW-277-50-GO-PF, Serial No. 21281

Rated Heat Input Capacity:

1.855 MMBtu/hr

Fuel Types:

Distillate oil, used oil, or liquefied petroleum gas (LPG)

Fuel Input Rates:

16.6 gal/hr used oil; 25.3 gal/hr LPG

Stack Parameters

Height - 18 meters

Diameter - 0.3048 meter Flowrate - 914 acfm

Small generators with 10-52 horsepower are moved about for lighting purposes, and these units are exempt under the PTC requirements per IDAPA 58.01.01.222.

5.2 Emissions Inventory

Allowable emissions from the Dry Valley Mine will not change as a result of issuance of this permit to Agrium, the new owner. This is because the permit is being issued for a change of ownership, and no other physical or operational changes will occur as a result of this action. As noted in the March 21, 2002 Tier II Technical Memorandum for this facility, the emissions estimates for the facility have not changed since the April 23, 1997 PTC revision. As part of the application for re-issuance of this permit to Agrium, some additional emission estimate details were provided (e.g., fugitive emission sources). These estimates were reviewed to confirm they are consistent with DEQ methods and procedures and changes were made where necessary. Copies of this information are included in Appendix A. A copy of the crusher emission estimate worksheet is also included in Appendix A and this information represents no changes from what was included with the April 23, 1997 PTC Technical Analysis. In summary, the estimated fugitive dust emissions from the crusher and its associated equipment are 9.5 lb/hr and 11.7 tons/yr. The crusher fugitive dust estimate is based on the permit requirement which limits the total phosphate ore throughput from the haul-truck loadout at the storage pile to the railcar loading to 3,000,000 tons per consecutive 12-month period. For the Burnham Boiler, a summary of the emissions estimates are given below in Table 5.1 and details are included in Appendix A.

Table 5.1 SUMMARY OF BURNHAM BOILER EMISSIONS INVENTORY

| P | otential l | Emission | ıs – Hou | rly (lb/h | r), and A | nnual | (T/yr) | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|----------------|-------|------|
| Course Description | PN | 110 | C | 0 | NO |), | S | O ₂ | V | OC |
| Source Description | lb/hr | T/yr | lb/hr | T/yr | lb/hr | T/yr | lb/hr | Т/уг | lb/hr | T/yr |
| Burnham Boiler, LPG * | 0.68 | 0.5 | 0.09 | 0.37 | 0.41 | 1.79 | 1.57 | 6.90 | 0.02 | 0.06 |

For each pollutant, the highest estimated emission rate for either LPG, distillate oil, or used oil was used.

Emissions of toxic air pollutants (TAP) have been estimated for the Burnham Boiler, since it was constructed and/or modified after June 30, 1995, to demonstrate compliance with the PTC requirements of IDAPA 58.01.01.210. TAP emissions from the Burnham Boiler are from the combustion of distillate oil, used oil, and LPG in the 1.85 MMBtu/hr burner. An inventory of the TAPs which were found to exceed the screening emission level (EL) for the boiler are summarized in Table 5.2 and details are provided in Appendix A.

Table 5.2 SUMMARY OF BURNHAM BOILER TAP EMISSION INVENTORY

| TAP | Emission Rate (lb/hr) | EL (lb/hr) |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Arsenic * | 9.67E-05 | 1.5E-06 |
| Cadmium ^b | 1.54E-04 | 3.7E-06 |
| Formaldehyde c | 5.61E-04 | 5.1E-04 |

- Maximum emission rate is based on the 0.7 ppm permit limit for arsenic in used oil.
- Maximum emission rate is based on used oil combustion.
- Maximum emission rate is based on distillate oil combustion.

5.3 Modeling

Since the physical and operational design of the facility are not changed as a result of this permit reissuance to the new owner, modeling is not required. For convenience, a copy of the SCREEN model conducted for the Burnham Boiler as part of the April 23, 1997 PTC Technical Analysis is included in Appendix B. A copy of the NAAQS modeling results for the Burnham Boiler is also included in Appendix B.

5.4 Regulatory Review

This section describes the regulatory analysis of the applicable air quality rules with respect to this Tier II permit.

IDAPA 58.01.01.401...... Tier II Operating Permit

This Tier II operating permit is being issued as a result of the change in ownership of the facility.

IDAPA 58.01.01.203, 651......Permit Requirements - NAAQS, Fugitive Dust

According to IDAPA 58.01.01.403.02, no Tier II operating permit shall be granted unless the applicant shows to the satisfaction of the Department that it would not cause or significantly contribute to a violation of any ambient air quality standard. As indicated above, this permit is being issued for a change in the ownership of the facility. The physical and operational design of the facility are not changed as a result of issuance of this permit, therefore, a NAAQS analysis is not required. However, in the interest of clarifying the NAAQS compliance requirements, the following information is provided.

The requirement for modeling for the demonstration of compliance is determined on a case by case basis. Based on the information presented, DEQ has determined, for this situation, that modeling is not necessary to determine compliance with the NAAQS. DEQ made this decision based on the following information: (1) current PM10 background concentration in the area is low, (2) emission factors for fugitive dust from this source category are somewhat uncertain, (3) model predictions for this type of source are highly uncertain, and require the application of deposition in the model which adds additional uncertainty to the final results. Because of these great uncertainties for this case, DEQ determined it would be more appropriate to require specific fugitive dust control measures in the permit than to perform a modeling analysis. In particular, the permittee is required to develop, implement and maintain a site specific Fugitive Dust Control Plan which contains operating, monitoring and recordkeeping elements. DEQ has determined that these control measures demonstrate, to the satisfaction of DEQ, that this facility would not cause or significantly contribute to a violation of any ambient air quality standard.

For convenience, a copy of the SCREEN model prepared for the Burnham Boiler, and which was attached to the April 23, 1998 PTC Technical Analysis, is included in Appendix B. Also, a copy of the NAAQS modeling results for the Burnham Boiler is included in Appendix B.

IDAPA 58.01.01.203 & 210...... Demonstration of Preconstruction Compliance with Toxic Standards

It has been demonstrated that emissions from the used oil-fired Burnham boiler will comply with IDAPA 58.01.01.210 so long as the used oil requirements specified in the Tier II permit are met. The used oil limits were re-evaluated and changed. In particular, the maximum allowable concentration of arsenic was found to be 2.8 ppm instead of 0.7 ppm and limits for cadmium and chromium which are more stringent than those specified by 40 CFR 279.12 were found to be unnecessary. Compliance is demonstrated as long as the arsenic concentration in used oil does not be exceed 2.8 ppm, the total quantity of used oil combusted does not exceed 22,000 gallons per year and the permittee performs the associated monitoring for these parameters as specified in the permit. The SCREEN modeling conducted for the Burnham Boiler remains effective and unchanged, and a copy is included in Appendix B for convenience. Included in Appendix A are details of the results which demonstrate compliance with IDAPA 58.01.01.210 for the Burnham Boiler.

40 CFR 60, Subpart NN New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for Phosphate Rock Plants

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart NN does not apply to the Dry Valley Mine. Although the Dry Valley Mine meets the definition of a Phosphate Rock Plant, Subpart NN does not apply since the mine does not utilize any of the affected facilities listed in 60.400(a)(2). Details are provided as follows:

As given by 60.400(a)(2), the provisions of this subpart apply to the following affected facilities used in phosphate rock plants which have a maximum plant production capacity greater than 4 tons/hr: dryers, calciners, grinders, and ground rock handling and storage facilities, except those facilities producing or preparing phosphate rock solely for consumption in elemental phosphorus production. Note that the Dry Valley Mine does not utilize any of the affected facilities listed above.

As defined by 60.401(a), a Phosphate Rock Plant is any plant which produces or prepares phosphate rock product by any or all of the following processes: mining, beneficiation, crushing, screening, cleaning, drying, calcining, and grinding. The Dry Valley Mine meets the definition of a Phosphate Rock Plant since it produces/prepares phosphate rock by mining, crushing and screening.

The provisions of this subpart continue to apply to the crusher at the Dry Valley Mine, and the requirements for complying with these regulations remain in the Tier II permit with no changes.

5.5 Fee Review

A Tier II operating permit processing fee of \$2,500 shall be paid to DEQ in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.407 because the facility's permitted emissions, excluding fugitive emissions, are between 1 and 10 tons per year. The emissions associated with this permit are given in Table 5.3. Although this permit addresses a change in the name of ownership, the fee exception under 58.01.01.407.02 does not apply since additional review and analysis were required for a renewal of the permit.

Table 5.3 TIER II PROCESSING FEE TABLE

| Emission | us Inventory |
|------------------|---------------------|
| Pollutant | Permitted Emissions |
| NO _x | 1.79 |
| SO ₂ | 6.90 |
| CO | 0.37 |
| PM ₁₀ | 0.5 |
| VOC | 0.06 |
| TAPS/HAPS | 0.002 |
| Total: | 9.6 |
| Fee Due | S 2,500.00 |

5.6 Regional Review of Draft Permit

A copy of the draft Tier II permit and Statement of Basis were provided to the Pocatello Regional Office on January 14, 2005. Comments were received on January 18, 2005 and they have been incorporated into this draft as noted under Permit Conditions 4.3 and 4.4.

5.7 Facility Review of Draft Permit

A copy of the draft PTC and Statement of basis were issued to the facility for review on February 14, 2004. Comments were received from Agrium on March 4, 2005, including corrections to the facility name, plant location, facility description and application scope.

6. PERMIT CONDITIONS

Changes between the Tier II permit issued for the Dry Valley Mine on June 13, 2002 and the Tier II permit proposed for issuance to Agrium, for the change in ownership, are described below. All permit conditions given below refer to the proposed permit for Agrium unless noted otherwise.

Permit Condition 1.2 of the June 13, 2002 Permit

Permit condition 1.2 listed the facility's preceding permits incorporated into the June 13, 2002 permit. This information is not relevant to the facility's new owner, Agrium, therefore, it was not included.

Permit Condition 1.2, Table 1.1, and Permit Condition 3.1

To make it more clear what fuels the Burnham Boiler may combust, distillate oil was added to the description of fuels which may be used.

Permit Conditions 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3

The requirements for complying with the fugitive dust rules under IDAPA 58.01.01.651 are addressed in more detail in the proposed permit. In particular, requirements for a site-specific Fugitive Dust Control (Plan) are specified. It is noted that a Plan to meet those requirements and govern site operations has been provided to DEQ as part of the permit application.

The demonstration of compliance with the fugitive dust rules is enhanced in the proposed permit by conducting monthly facility-wide inspections of potential sources of fugitive dust emissions, during daylight hours and under normal operating conditions, to ensure that the methods used to reasonably

control fugitive dust emissions are effective. In addition, requirements are included for taking corrective actions when necessary and recording the results of the inspections.

Permit Condition 3.4.2

The concentration limit for arsenic was changed from 0.7 to 2.8 ppm and the limits for cadmium and chromium were removed. The compliance demonstration method is still sufficient and was not changed.

Permit Conditions 3.5, 3.6, and 4.6

The requirement to maintain records for five years was changed to be two years. The two-year record retention period is more consistent with Tier II operating permit practices.

Permit Conditions 4.3 and 4.4

The method for demonstrating compliance with the NSPS opacity requirements was changed. Instead of referring to IDAPA 58.01.01.625 or the DEQ procedures manual, opacity shall be determined using the procedures specified in 40 CFR 60.675.

Tier II General Provisions

The most recent version of the Tier II General Provisions was used in this permit.

7. PUBLIC COMMENT

A public comment period on the proposed Tier II operating permit and application materials was provided from March 24, 2005 through April 25, 2005, in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.404.01.c. No comments were received.

8. RECOMMENDATION

Based on the review of the application materials, and all applicable state and federal regulations, staff recommends that DEQ issue Final Tier II operating permit No. T2-040323 for the Dry Valley Mine in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.404.01.c. PSD requirements do not apply.

KH/sd Permit No. T2-040323 G:\Air Quality\Stationary Source\SS Ltd\T2\Agrium\T2-040323\Final\T2-040323 Final SB.doc

APPENDIX A - Emissions Inventory

Agrium, Dry Valley Mine, Burnham Boiler Emission Estimates From Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG)

| | Fuel Usage (gal/hr) * Emission Factor | 25.3 | TAP Screen | Screen Result | AAC | Modeled | Permit | Ton/yr |
|-------|---------------------------------------|----------|------------|---------------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| | lb/1000 gallons | lb/hr | lb/hr | OK or Model | ug/m3 | ug/m3 | Limitations | |
| PM | 0.4 | 1.01E-02 | | • | • | • | | 0.04 |
| PM-10 | 0.4 | 1.01E-02 | | | | | | 0.04 |
| NOx | 14 | 3.54E-01 | | | | | | 1.55 |
| \$Ox | 0.10°S | 2.53E-03 | | | | | | 0.01 |
| CO | 1.9 | 4.81E-02 | | | | | | 0.21 |
| TOC | 0.5 | 1.27E-02 | | | | | | 0.06 |
| N2O | 0.9 | 2.28E-02 | 8 | OK | 4.50E+03 | 2.53E-01 | ΠQ | 0.10 |
| CH4 | 0.2 | 5.06E-03 | | | | | | 0.02 |

27.8

Sulfur content (grains per 100 cubic feet) = 1

Notes:

1 lb/hr Modeled Concentration, ug/m3 =

2. Emission factors are from AP-42 Section 1.5 for commercial boilers burning propane.

^{1.} The 1 lb/hr modeled concentration was obtained from the March 13, 1997 modeling analysis for this boiler.

Agrium, Dry Valley Mine, Burnham Boiler

| | Number 2 fuel oil | | Firing rate = % Sulfur (S) = | 1° 0.59 | 7 Gal/hr 9 | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|----------------------------|--------|
| Pollutant | Emission Factor | tb/hr | TAP Screen | Screen OK? | AAC/C | Modeled ug/m3 | Permit Limits ves/no | ton/yr |
| 502 | 157°S | 1.57 | | O 111 | ognii.o | ugc | yeario | 6.90 |
| SO3 | 5.7*S | 0.08 | | | | | | 0.25 |
| NOx | 24 | 0.41 | | | | | | 1.79 |
| CO | 5 | 0.00 | | | | | | 0.37 |
| PM-10 | 1.08 | 0.02 | | | | | | 0.08 |
| Benzene | 2.14E-04 | 3.64E-06 | 8.00E-04 | OK | | 1.3E-05 | | 0.00 |
| Ethylbenzene | 6.36E-05 | 1.08E-06 | 29 | | | 3.8E-06 | | |
| Formaldehyde | 3.30E-02 | 5.61E-04 | 5.10E-04 | | 7.70E-02 | | no | |
| Naphthalene | 1.13E-03 | 1.92E-05 | 3.33 | | | 6.7E-05 | | |
| 1,1,1 trichloroethane | 2.36E-04 | 4.01E-08 | 17.93 | | | 1.4E-05 | | |
| Toluene | 8.20E-03 | 1.05E-04 | 25 | | | 3.7E-04 | | |
| 0-Xylene | 1.09E-04 | 1.85E-08 | 29 | | | 6.4E-06 | | |
| Acensiphthylene | 2.11E-05 | 3.59E-07 | | | | 1.2E-06 | | |
| Anthracene | 1.22E-06 | 2.07E-08 | | | | 7.2E-08 | | |
| Benz(s)snthracene+ | 4.01E-06 | 6.82E-08 | | | | 2.4E-07 | | |
| Benzo(b,k)fluoranthene+ | 1.48E-06 | 2.52E-08 | | | | 8.7E-08 | | |
| Benzo(g,h,l)perylene | 2.26E-06 | 3.84E-08 | | | | 1.3E-07 | | |
| Chrysene+ | 2.38E-06 | 4.05E-08 | | | | 1.4E-07 | | |
| Dibenzo(a,h)enthracene+ | 1.67E-06 | 2.84E-08 | | | | 9.9E-08 | | |
| Fluoranthene | 4.84E-08 | 8.23E-08 | | | | 2.9E-07 | | |
| Fluorene | 4.47E-08 | 7.60E-08 | | | | 2.6E-07 | | |
| Indo(1,2,3-cd)pyrene + | 2.14E-06 | 3.64E-08 | | | | 1.3E-07 | | |
| Phenanthrene | 1.05E-06 | 1.79E-08 | | | | 6.2E-08 | | |
| Ругеле | 4.25E-06 | 7.23E-08 | | | | 2.5E-07 | | |
| Arsenic | 5.60E-04 | 9.5E-06 | 1.50E-06 | model | 2.30E-04 | 3.3E-05 | по | |
| Beryllium | 4.20E-04 | 7.1E-06 | 2.80E-05 | OK | | 2.5E-05 | ••• | |
| Cadmium | 4.20E-04 | 7.1E-06 | 3.70E-08 | model | 5.60E-04 | 2.5E-05 | по | |
| Chromium (+II, III) | 4.20E-04 | 7.1E-06 | 3.30E-02 | OK | | 2.5E-05 | | |
| Соррег | 8.40E-04 | 1.4E-05 | 0.013 | OK | | 5.0E-05 | | |
| Lead | 1.26E-03 | 2.1E-05 | | | | 7.4E-05 | | |
| Mercury | 4.20E-04 | 7.1E-06 | 0.001 | OK | | 2.5E-05 | | |
| Manganese | 8.40E-04 | 1.4E-05 | 0.067 | OK | | 5.0E-05 | | |
| Nickel | 4.20E-04 | 7.1E-06 | | | | 2.5E-05 | | |
| Selenium | 2.10E-03 | 3.6E-05 | 0.013 | ОК | | 1.2E-04 | | |
| Zinc | 5.60E-04 | 9.5E-06 | 0.667 | OK | | 3.3E-05 | | |
| | | | | | | 0.0E+00 | | |
| PAH (Sum of +) | | 1.9856E-07 | 2.00E-06 | OK | | 6.9E-07 | | |

27.8

1 /b/hr modeled concentration, ug/m3 =

^{1.} Formaldehyde Emission Factor is for #6 but assume #2 Fuel
2. The 1 lb/hr modeled concentration was obtained from the March 13, 1997 modeling analysis for this boiler.
3. All emission factors are from AP-42, Section 1.3

Agrium, Dry Valley Mine, Burnham Boiler Emission Estimates From Combusting Used Oil

Fuel Usage (gal/hr) = Maximum Fuel Usage (hr/yr) =

16.6 1,325

| | Emission Factor | | TAP Screen | Screen Result | AAC/C | Modeled | Permit | Ton/yr |
|---------------------|------------------|----------|------------|---------------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| | lb/1000 gallons* | lb/hr | lb/hr | OK or Model | ug/m3 | ug/m3 | Limitations | |
| PM | 64*A | 0.85 | | | | | | 0.56 |
| PM-10 | 51*A | 0.68 | | | | | | 0.45 |
| Pb | 55*L | 0.01 | | | | | | 0.01 |
| NOx | 19 | 0.32 | | | | | | 0.21 |
| SOx | 147*S | 1.44 | | | | | | 0.95 |
| CO | 5 | 0.08 | | | | | | 0.05 |
| TOC | 1 | 0.02 | | | | | | 0.01 |
| Antimony | | 0.00E+00 | | | | | | 0.00 |
| • | | - | | | | | yes, see | |
| Arsenic | 1.10E-01 | 3.87E-04 | 1.50E-06 | Model | 2.30E-04 | 1.34E-03 | below | |
| Beryllium | | 0.00E+00 | 2.80E-05 | OK | 4.20E-03 | 0.00E+00 | по | |
| Cadmium | 9.30E-03 | 1.54E-04 | 3.70E-06 | Model | 5.60E-04 | 5.36E-04 | по | |
| Chromium (+II, III) | 2.00E-02 | 3.32E-04 | 3.30E-02 | OK | 2.50E+01 | 3.69E-03 | no | |
| Cobalt | 2.10E-04 | 3.49E-06 | 3.30E-03 | OK | 2.50E+00 | 3.88E-05 | no | |
| Manganese | 6.80E-02 | 1.13E-03 | 0.067 | OK | 5.00E+01 | 1.26E-02 | no | |
| Nickel | 1.10E-02 | 1.83E-04 | 4.20E-03 | OK | 4.20E-03 | 6.35E-04 | no | |
| Phenol | 2.80E-05 | 4.65E-07 | 1.27 | OK | 9.50E+02 | 5.17E-06 | no | |
| Dichlorobenzene | | 0.00E+00 | 20 | OK | 1.50E+04 | 0.00E+00 | no | |
| Naphthalene | 9.20E-05 | 1.53E-06 | 3.33 | OK | 2.50E+03 | 1.70E-05 | no | |
| Phenanthrene | 1.00E-04 | 1.66E-06 | | | | | no | |
| Dibutylphthalate | 3.40E-05 | 5.64E-07 | 0.333 | OK | 0.00E+00 | 6.28E-06 | no | |

1 lb/hr Modeled Concentration, ug/m3 ≈

27.8

%by weight

A = Ash content = 0.8

L = lead content = 0.01015

S = Sulfur content = 0.59

Notes:

- 1. The 1 lb/hr modeled concentration was obtained from the March 13, 1997 modeling analysis for this boiler.
- 2. The maiximum hr/yr that used oil can be fired is 1325 hr/yr = 22,000 gal/yr) / (16.6 gal/hr)
- 3. The arsenic emission rate estimate (3.87 E-04 lb/hr) is based on the permit limit of 2.8 ppm.
- 4. This table assumes used oil is used 8760 hr/yr at full firing rate & does not account for the 22,000 gal/yr used oil limit.

Therefore, in the permit analysis, the arsenic modeled concentration was adjusted to account for 22,000 gal of used oil-firing (permit limit) and 123,400 gal of distillate oil firing which accounts for the remainder of the year. The resulting permit limit is 2.8ppm for arsenic in used oil.

* TAP emission factors are from AP-42 Section 1.11 for small boilers; for organic compounds atomizing burner factors are used

Maximum Allowable Metal Concentrations for Used Oil Per 40CFR279.12 Maximum Allowable Arsenic Concentration is 2.8 ppm per Tier II Permit Limit

| | ppm (mg/l) | lb/gal | Emission Rate(lb/hr) Burning 16.6 gal/hr | Screen Level (lb/hr) |
|----------|---------------|----------|---|-------------------------|
| Arsenic | 5 | 4.16E-05 | 6.91E-04 | 1.50E-06 |
| Cadmium | 2 | 1.67E-05 | 2.76E-04 | 3.70E-06 |
| Chromium | 10 | 8.33E-05 | 1.38E-03 | 5.60E-07 |
| Lead | 100 | 8.33E-04 | 1.38E-02 | |

Based on the 2.8 ppm Permit Limit for Arsenic

| | ppm (mg/l) | lb/gal | Emission Rate(lb/hr) Burning 16.6 gal/hr | Screen Level (lb/hr) |
|---------|---------------|----------|--|-------------------------|
| Arsenic | 2.8 | 2.33E-05 | 3.87E-04 | 1.5E-06 |

Assume Density of 8.212 lb/gallon

Combustion Rate, gallon/hr = 16.6

mg/l => lb/gal, multiply mg/l by 5.82E-7

From AP-42 for Used Oil

| | E.F. | lb/hr | Screen | |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| Arsenic | 1.10E-01 | 1.83E-03 | 1.50E-06 | Model |
| Beryllium | | 0.00E+00 | 2.80E-05 | OK |
| Cadmium | 9.30E-03 | 1.54E-04 | 3.70E-06 | Model |
| Chromium | 2.00E-02 | 3.32E-04 | 3.30E-02 | OK |

Note: for the permit analysis, the smaller of either the AP-42 estimate or the allowable emission rate determined using 279.12 is used, as indicated in bold. The only exception is arsenic in which case the permit limit of 2.8 ppm is used.

Determine maximum allowable Assenic concentration for used oil: Arsenic AACC = 2.3 E-of 19/m3

Maximum Hourly Impact = (2.3E-04 " m3)/0.125 = 1.84E-03 mg/m3

Find maximum allowable annual emission rate = X:

1 thr = x where 27.8 mg/m3 is the modeled impact 27.8 mg/m3 is the modeled impact for the boiler at a 1 thr emission rate.

X = (1.84E-03)/27.8 = 6.62 E-05 #/bs

Allowable Arsenic emissions = (6.62 E-05 #6/4r) 8760 hr/yr)=0.580 # /yr

Find the maximum Assenic emissions for diesel fuel:

Arsenic emission rate for dieselfuel = 9.5 E-06 to/hr (see spreadsheet) Total Fuel fired = (16.6 30/hr) (8760 hr/yr) = 145, 400 gal/yr used oil fired = 22,000 gal/yr per permit limit Diesel oil fired = 145, 400 gal/yr - 22,000 gal/yr = 123, 400 gal/yr Total annual hours with used oil = (22,000 ad/xr)/(16.6 24/hr)= 1325 hr/4r Total annual hours using dieseloil= 8760-1325=7435 hr/yr Maximum annual Arsenic emissions for diesel = (9.5E-06 to/hr) (7435 hr/gr) = 0.0706 tb/yr

Find the maximum aboundle Arsenic concentration for used oil-

Allowable Arsenic Enissions = 0.580 # /yr = (dieselemissions) + (used oil emissions) 0.580 tb/yr = 0.0706 tb/yr + used oil emissions

Allowable used oil emissions = 0.580 - 0.0706 = 0.509 tb/yr

Allowable used oil emissions = (ellowable emission rate × 1325 hr/yr) = 0.509 tb/yr

=(0.509 # /4r) /(1325 hr/4r) = 3.84 E-04 #/hr Allowable emission rate

Allowable used oil Arsenic Concentration = [3.84E-04 to Arsenic/hr] (16.69 hr) (8.212 / [al)] = 2.82 E-06 to As/to fuel = 2.8 ppm

Determine maximum allowable used oil Cadmium concentration: Cadmium AACC = 5.6 E-04 49/m3

Maximum allowable hourly impact = (5.6E-04 48/m3)/0.125 = 4.48 E-03 48/m3

Find the maximum allowable emission rate = x :

X= (4.48 E-03)/27.8 = 1.61 E-04 tb/hr

Allowable cadmium emissions = (1.61E-04 th/hr)(8760 hr/gr) = 1.41 th/gr

Maximum annual cadmium emissions for diesel = (7.1 E-06 th/hr)(7435 hr/yr)
= 0.0528 ts/yr

where the cadmium emission rate for diesel is 7.1E-06th (see spread sheet)

Find the maximum allowable codmium concentration for used oil:

Allowable cadmium emissions = 1.41 th/yr = diesel emissions + used oil emissions 1.41 th/yr = 0.0528 th/yr + used oil emissions

Albuable used oil emissions = 1.41-0.0528 = 1.36 tb/si

Albanable cadmium emission rate = (1.36 th/yr)/(1325hr/yr)=1.02 E-03 th/hr
"Allowable" used oil cadmium concentration = [1.02E-03th/hr]/[(16.69alhr) 8.212th/gd)]
= 7.51 E-06 th-calmium/th-fuel = 7.51 ppm

However, since 40 CFR 279.12 limits the cadmium concentration in used oil to no more than 2ppm, then the maximum allowable cadmium concentration shall be 2ppm, and compliance with IDAPA 58.01.21,210 has been demonstrated.

Determine the maximum allowable used oil chromium concentration:

Chromium AAC = 25 M3/m3

Maximum allowable hourly impact = (25 M3/m3) /0.4 = 62.5 M3/m3

Find the maximum allowable chromium emission rate = X :

X = (62.5)/27.8 = 2.25 tb/hr

Allowable chromium emissions = (2.25 tb/hr) 8760 hr/yr) = 19,700 tb/yr

Maximum annual chromium emissions for diesel= (7.1E-06 thr) 7435 hr/yr)

= 0.0528 tb/yr

where the chromium emission rate for diesel is 7.1E-06 th/hr (see spreadshoot)

Find the maximum allowable chromium concentration for used oil:

Allowable chromium emissions = 19,700 byr = diesel emissions + used oil emissions

19,700 byr = 0.0528 byr tused oil emissions

Allowable used oil emissions = 19,700-0.0528 = 19,700 $\frac{tb}{yr}$ Allowable chromium emission rate = $(19,700 \frac{tb}{yr})/(1325 \frac{hr}{yr}) = 14.9 \frac{tb}{hr}$ Allowable used oil chromium concentration = $[14.9 \frac{tb}{hr}]/[(16.6 \frac{9al}{hr})(8.212 \frac{tb}{gal})]$ = 1.09 E-01 $\frac{tb}{th}$ chrome $\frac{t}{th}$ fuel = 109,000 ppm

However, since 40CFR 279.12 limits the chromium concentration in used oil to no more than 10 ppm, then the maximum allowable chromium concentration shall be 10 ppm, and compliance with IDAPA 58.01.01.210 has been demonstrated.

| | | | | Appropriate Land | | | | _ | | | - | | |
|--|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---------------|--|--------------|-----------------|---|--|-----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | | | | | | 4 | Bared On Entered | ed SCREENS Date | | | |
| | Selector Fac | Genteration Managed Res | Hours of Operation | | | Hours of Describes | | Calculated | Calculated | Calcula | 1 | | |
| Pohutani | [tel who he | Ē | _ | [12] betypeer [| Ibaidey | Tyl | _ | T. Leofer 3 | Calcular | | | | |
| | 1 | 000 | | 2,450 | | | 89 | \$ 7 | 200 | | | | |
| 01-10- | ¥. | 1 | | 1 | 00.0 |] | 000 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | | | 8 | 0.0 | | 0 142 | HZCI | | |
| NOV dX() | ¥ | 80 | l | | 1 | | 8 | | 0 | 0 | OSMO | | |
| 42(1 | N/A | 980 | l | l | | | 3 | 5 | | | | | |
| | ¥¥ | 000 | 20 | 2,450 | 8 | | 8 | | | | | | |
| Generator Embedana | | | | | and and | | | | | | | 100 | |
| | D S | | Benefican | Contraction | | Biggiffeng Confebration (Non-Ambanest Ann Bloodhood Constitution | Control | | A HOUSE | CONCENSION | | A VIENE | 7 |
| , | Prehiston | | 1 | Emigration Plates | • | Ambient Air Concentration | in the | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| Political | l | | | | | 24 hr | | Annual | 1-14 | Ī | 7 | 24 | Annual |
| P4.50 | | Z.5 Thri | ₽: | (26 Thr.) | | | | | | | | نط | |
| | - | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 8 4 | CAL ST | 8 | 5 uphri3 | | 1 ug/m3 | | 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | ** | 978 | 2 |
| - | l | 14. | ? | (Maria | Ł | (1-hour) | | SEPONDE SEPONDS | 90. | _ | 8. 18. | | |
| 301 d20 | BRC | 4 Thr | 2 1 | (40 T/m) | | Taken A | | 7 | 7000 7000 7000 7000 7000 7000 7000 700 | | | | 9 |
| | | | | 146 | | | | | | 3 | | 1 | Z, |
| | | | | | | | | | | For information purposes | No. | | |
| Rock Creater Enission | | Crushers | Serve. | ScreensOut0 | | Transfer Points Du20 | | | _ | Crusher Hours of Operation | 0 | | |
| : | No. 1 | | No.1 | | Not. 1-4 | Nos. 6 - 7 | | Eminerione | | to Yield 90.0 TAY En | Financian | | |
| No. Unite | _ | 0 | | | Þ | - | 7 | | | 9 | 1 | | |
| Operation Schadule (I=1) | 1 | 8 | <u>5</u> | 8 | 1200 | | 8 | | | Generator | Generator | | |
| Throughput ([=] MAA Tryon | N | 221 | 28. | 221 | 285 | | 1.48 | | | | 2460 | | |
| men Schadule ([-] | 2,480 | | | | _ | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Part to | \$ | É | ž | 200 | 20 | | YOY | | | | TREAL PROPERTY. | | |
| Emission Factor: 143: | | | | | 00000 | | 9 | | | | | | |
| Uncomfoling Emission | | | | | | | 3,63 | 31.6 | | | | | |
| Montrolled Emission | | | | | | | | PVAT 8.5 | 1 | 8780 | 924 | | |
| Controlled Emissions | 0.1 | 00 | 7 0 | 9 6 | 3.6 | | 9 7 | 7/27 | 1 | | | | |
| | | ĺ | L | 1 | Ĺ | | 3 | | | | T | | |
| Emission Factor. v3:: Uncommissed Emission | 0000 | 0.0083 | 000 | 700 | 0.0053 | | 0.0053 | | | | | | |
| Introduct Emissions | _ | 3 8 | | | | | 8 | £ 0.3 | | - | - | | |
| Uncontrolled Emission | _ | 8 | | | | | 2.8 | 20.02 | | 7824 | Ž | | |
| Controlled Emissions | 0.5 | 0.0 | | l | | | 8 | 7/4/ 387 | | | | | |
| Number of Screen | n - Member of | Cruebers | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of Transit | Point 7 | fumber of C. | (Suppose) | | | | | | | | | | |
| P = PMH610□"2.1; | TSP = PMT0.8 | 11.19.2 | 2. Vehera fa | don were give | n for one po | Obs. Chimmon Factor from AP-42, Table 11.19.2-2. Where factors were given for one politions, the following conversion factors were used: TSP = PMI (410.72.1, TSP = PMP). | factors with | ; | | _ | | | |
| Hourly values are based on maximum deily prode | Dn Mazdarium d | mily produces: | Takes give | uction raise given above. Annual velues | A vehicle are | are based on throughput values given above | Mer above. | | | | - | | |
| | General Land | | | | | | | | \ | ぎしりし | کلادر | | |
| | | | | More (Common | | Total Emissions | | | \ | (| • | | |
| Politicant | Markey | 1 | | 1 | N. Contract | j | | ١ | \ | + | + 1,0 -4 4 | • | • |
| | 800 | l | l | ľ | 1 | | | 1 | | - 1 | てゅ?: | zrt v | |
| 0 | 000 | Į, | 227.8 | ÷ | | | | \ | | • |) | ز | |
| | 980 | | | L | 9 | | 1 | , | | | | | |
| 998 | 0.00 | | | | 0.0 | | 3 8 | | | | | | |
| 20/626 | 000 | 000 | | | 0.0 | | 90 | | | | | | |
| | 800 | ı | | | 8 | | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Safercanità I Labor | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | • | | | | | | | |
| Generator - Operation | 24.0 | 1 | 246 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |

DRY VALLEY MINE EMISSION INVENTORY

| | 71 | P Emission Rub | 18 | P | M10 Embaten Ra | |
|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Source | Antoni Annoni | Potent | lei to Emit | Annual Annual | Pyton | Haf to Emit |
| | Emissions (tensily) | Hearty (Mester) | Annual (town/yr) | Emissions (tens/yr) | Hearly (Broke) | Assuel (tensiye) |
| LPG/Waste Of Beller | NA. | NA | NA | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.14 |
| Generalari | NA. | NA NA | NA . | 0.37 | 0.32 | A2.75 C |
| Departs | 15.9 | 13.2 | 52.6 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 9.6 |
| Blasting and Oriting | 9.5 | 125.3 | 13.8 | 4.9 | 65.1 | 7.1 |
| Vibratory Sures | 2.2 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| Wind Eresiss | 124.1 | 39.2 | 171.6 | 62.0 | 19.6 | 85.8 |
| Seruper Operations | 2.9 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.5 |
| Mice. Sources | 80.7 | 25.5 | 114.3 | 25.7 | 8.5 | 36.4 |
| Unpaved Reads | 275 | 1,291 | 352 | 78 | - 366 | 100 |
| Total | 510 | 1400 | 712 | 183 | 406 | 256 |

KH 1/13/05

This emission inventory presents a conservative estimate of the Dry Valley Miles's poliutant emission rates. Agrium reserves the right to refine these calculations in the future.

Emission Calculation

Operations

| | | Number of | Hours of | Days of | | Operations | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|
| | Engine Size (he) | Generators this Size | Operation per Day | Operation per Year | Actual (hra/yr) | Mest (twa/day) | Max (hra/e) | |
| Diesal Fired Generators | 10 | - 7 | 12 | 306 | 30,600 | 166 | 61,320 | |
| Diesel Fired Generator | 25 | 1 | 12 | 366 | 4,380 | 24 | 8,760 | |
| Diseal Fired Generator | 52 | 1 | 12 | 366 | 4,380 | 24 | 8,760 | |
| Total | 147 | 9 | | | 39,420 | 216 | 78,840 | |

AP-42 Section 3.3, Table 3.3-1, (10/96)

Criteria Pollutant Emissions from all Generators Combined

| Pollutant | Emission Factor | Actual Em | lesions | Potenție | to Emit |
|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| POHILLIN | (libering-fvr) | Ibrity | TPY | No/for | TPY |
| PM _M | 0.0022 | 0.3 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 194 0.71 |
| NOx | 0.031 | 4.6 | 89.8 | 4.6 | 1708 10.0 |
| co | 0.00666 | 1.0 | 19.4 | 1.0 | 34. 2.2 |
| SO ₂ | -0.00205 0,0043 | 0.3 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 14.5 1.4 |
| TOC | 0.00251 | 0.4 | 7.3 | 0.4 | 14.0 0.81 |

Toxic Air Poliutant Emissions from all Generators Combined

| Pollutant | Emission Factor | Emission Factor | Actual E | missions | Potenti | al to Emit | HAP? |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|-------|
| Pontant | (lbe/mmbtu) | (lbe/hp-hr) | Hoffer | TPY | No fee | TPY | HAP I |
| Berizene | 0.000933 | 6.53E-06 | 9.80E-04 | 0.019 | 9.60E-04 | 3.78E-02 | Yes |
| Toluene | 0.000408 | 2.86E-06 | 4.21E-04 | 0.008 | 4.21E-04 | 1.66E-02 | Yes |
| Xylenes | 2.86E-04 | 2.00E-06 | 2.93E-04 | 800.0 | 2.93E-04 | 1.18E-02 | Yes |
| 1,3-Butacliene | 3.91E-05 | 2.74E-07 | 4.02E-05 | 0.001 | 4.02E-05 | 1.50E-03 | Yes |
| Formaldehyde | 1.18E-03 | 6.26E-06 | 1.21E-03 | 0.024 | 1.21E-03 | 4.79E-02 | Yes |
| Acetaldehyde | 7.67E-04 | 5.37E-06 | 7.89E-04 | 0.016 | 7.80E-04 | 3.11E-02 | Yes |
| Acrolein | 9.25E-05 | 6.48E-07 | 9.52E-05 | 0.002 | 9.52E-05 | 3.75E-03 | Yes |
| Polycyclic aromatic by | drocarbons (PAH) | | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | 8.48E-05 | 5.94E-07 | 8.73E-05 | 0.002 | 8.73E-05 | 3.44E-03 | Yes |
| Benzo(a)enthracene | 1.68E-06 | 1.18E-06 | 1.73E-06 | 0.000 | 1.73E-06 | 6.81E-05 | No |
| Chrysene | 3.53E-07 | 2.47E-09 | 3.63E-07 | 0.000 | 3.63E-07 | 1.43E-05 | No |
| Benzo(b)illuoranthene | 9.91E-08 | 6.94E-10 | 1.02E-07 | 0.000 | 1.02E-07 | 4.02E-06 | No |
| Berzo(k)fluoranthens | 1.55E-07 | 1.09E-09 | 1.59E-07 | 0.000 | 1.59E-07 | 8.29E-08 | No |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 1.88E-07 | 1.32E-09 | 1.93E-07 | 0.000 | 1.93E-07 | 7.63E-06 | No |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | 3.75E-07 | 2.63E-09 | 3.86E-07 | 0.000 | 3.86E-07 | 1.52E-06 | No |
| Diberz(a,h)enthracene | 5.83E-07 | 4.08E-09 | 6.00E-07 | 0.000 | 6.00E-07 | 2.36E-05 | No |
| TOTAL PAH | 1.68E-04 | 1.18E-86 | 1.73E-84 | 0.003 | 1.73E-84 | 6.81E-43 | |

PM. o Example Correction: (0.0022 15/hp.hr)(175hp)(12hr/day X365day/yr)(ton/2000tb)= 0.071 Ton/yr 502 EF for 0.59% S is 0.0043 thp.hr

12-hr/day operation for lighting units

KH 1-13-05

DOZER MOVEMENT Emission Calculations

Operations

| | | | Actual | | | | Mardinun | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|--|
| Туре | Number of Dozers | Hours per SNR | Shifts per | Hours per Year per Dozer | Hours per | Hours per | Hours Per | Hours per | |
| Ore Dozers | 2.5 | 10 | 188 | 1,880 | Year, All Dozers 4,700 | 24 | 8,760 | Year, All Dozens 21,900 | |
| Waste Dozers | 1.75 | 10 | 418 | 4,180 | 7,315 | 24 | 8.780 | 15.330 | |
| Shipping Dozers | 1.6 | 10 | 123 | 1,250 | 1,545 | 16 | 5,840 | 8,760 | |

Dozer Movement Fugitive Dust Emission Factors TSP Emission Factor (bihr) = (6.7 ° (a) 13) / (M) 12 AP-42 Fifth Edition Table 11.9-1 (7/86)

Equation for Buildozing Overburden

6.9 = s, meterial sit content (%), mean value from AP-42 Table 11.9-3

12 = M, material moisture content (%), provided by Agrium

Sample Calculation EF (ibs TSP/fitr of operation) = (5.7 * (6.9)^1.2) / (12.0)^1.3

EFTEP *

2.29 Ib/hr

PM10 Emission Factor (lb/hr) = 0.75 * (1.0 * (a) $^{1.6}$) / (M) $^{1.4}$

MTO Emission Facult (Birth) = 0.79 (AP-42 Fifth Edition 11.9-4 (1/95) Equation for Buildcaing Overburden

0.75 = k, PM10 Scaling Factor

6.9 = s, meterial alt content (%), mean value from AP-42 Table 11.9-3 12 = M, meterial mainture content (%), provided by Agrium

Sample Calculation

EF (lbs PM10/hr of operation) = 0.75 * (1.0 * (6.9)*1.5) / (12.0)*1.4)

EF_{PM10} =

0.42 EAN

Evelthe Emissions

| | TSP Actual | | TSP | PM10 Actual | Pk | 110 |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| | Annual Potential to Emit | | Annual | Potential to Emit | | |
| | Emissions | Delly | Annuel | Emissions | Delly | Annual |
| Emission Unit | (tons/yr) | (lbs/hr) | (lone/yr) | (tone/yr) | (lbe/hr) | (tone/yr) |
| Ore Dozens | 5.4 | 5.7 | 25.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 4.6 |
| Waste Dozers | 8.4 | 4.0 | 17.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 3.2 |
| Shipping Dozers | 2.1 | 3.4 | 10.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.8 |
| Sum | 15.9 | 13.2 | 52.8 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 9.6 |

Dry Valley Mine Emission Inventory ---/_{K#}

BLASTING and DRILLING

Emission Calculations

Operations

| | | Actual | Madmun | Maximum | Annual | Meximum | Medimuni |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Type of | Maste | Directs | Dinets | Holes Drilled | Holes Drilled | Holes Drilled |
| Type | Meterial | per year | per hour | per year | per year | per hour | Det, Ages. |
| Bleeting | Rock | 110 | 1 | 180 | NA. | NA | NA |
| Drilling | Rook | NA | NA . | NA NA | 8,739 | 7 | 12,718 |

Blasting Fugitive Dust Emission Factors

TSP Emission Fector (ibs / blest) = 0.000014 * A^{1.8}
- AP-42 Fifth Edition 11.9-5 (10/98)

42,065 =A, horizontal area (f^2), with bleeting depth < 70 feet, Provided by Agrium

Sample Calculation EF(the of TSP / blast) = 0.000014 * 42085*1.5

EFTER #

120.78 fbs / blest

PM10 Emission Factor (fibs / blast) = 0.52 ° (0.000014 ° A^{1.5})
- AP-42 Fifth Edition 11.9-5 (10/95)
42,065 =A, horizontal area, with blasting depth < 70 feet, Provided by Agrium 0.52 =k, scaling factor

Sample Calculation

EF(lbs of PM10 / blest) = k* 0.000014 * 42065*1.5

EFRUM #

62.81 lbs / blest

Dritting Fugitive Dust Emission Factors TSP Emission Factor (lbs / hole) = 1.3

- AP-42 Fifth Edition 11.9-10 (10/96)

EFTER =

1.3 lbs / hole

0.65 Tos / hole

EF_{PM10} =

We conservatively assumed that half of the TSP emissions associated with Drilling would be PM10.

Funitive Emissions

| | TSP Actual TSPAnnual Potential to Em | | | PM10 Actual T | PM10 Potential to Emit | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | Emissions | Hourty | Annuel | Emissions | Hourly | Amount |
| Emission Unit | (tons/yr) | (lbe/hr) | (lons/yr) | (lons/yr) | (Roe/frr) | (tons/yr) |
| Bleeting | 0.6 | 120.8 | 9.7 | 3.5 | 62.8 | 5.0 |
| Drilling ⁴ | 2.8 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Sum | 9.5 | 125.3 | 13.8 | 4.9 | 85.1 | 7.1 |

1

^{* =} Assuming 50% reduction in emissions due to wetering controls.

4" VIBRATORY SCREEN

Endealor Calculations

| | | |
|--|------|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | | | Actual Annual | Madmum | Meximum |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Type of | Number of | Throughput | Hourly Throughput | Annual Throughput |
| Unit | Material | Units | (TPY) | (TPH) | (TPY) |
| Unit 4° Vibratory Screen | Ore | 1 | 2,000,000 | 1,729 | 2,300,000 |

Throughput information provided by Agrium, 09-08-03

3,000,000

1/13/05

Material Processing Fugitive Dust Emission Factors
TSP Emission Factor (lbs. / ton of throughput) = Sum of (Unit EFs.* Number of Units) - AP-42 Fifth Edition 11.19.2 (8/04)

0.0022 =EF for 4" Vibratory Screen (lb / ton)

EF from Table 11.19.2-2 for Controlled Screening due to the material's high moisture content (12%).

PM10 Emission Factor (ibs. / ton of throughput) = Sum of (Unit EFs.* Number of Units) - AP-42 Fifth Edition 11.19.2-2 (8/04)

0.00074 =EF for 4" Vibratory Screen (tb / ton)

EF from Table 11.19.2-2 for Controlled Screening due to the meterial's

high moisture content (12%)

Euritha Emissions

| rugiuve Emilearona | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| | TSP Actual | T | \$P | PM10 Actual | P | M10 |
| | Annual | Potentie | to Emit | Annual | Potenti | ini to Emit |
| | Emissions | Hourly | Annual | Emissions | Hourly | Annual |
| Emission Unit | (tons/yr) | (lbe/tr) | (toms/yr) | (tons/yr) | (lbe/tr) | (tone/yr) |
| 4" Vibratory Screen | 2.2 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 |

values will be approx 30% higher if thoughput is 3,000,000 TPY

KH 1-13-05

WIND EROSION ON OPEN AREAS Emission Calculations

Operations

| | Actual Acres of | Meximum Acres of |
|------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Туре | Exposed Land | Exposed Land |
| Open Areas | 327 | 452 |
| Roads | 24 | 24 |

Open Areas Fugitive Dust Emission Fectors
TSP Emission Factor (ton / (acre)(yr)) = 0.38
AP-42 Filth Edition 11.9-4 (10/98)

EFTER*

0.38 tons / (scre)(yr)

EFPH =

0.19 tons / (acre)(yr)

We conservatively assumed that helf of the TSP emissions associated with Wind Ercelon on Open Areas would be PM10.

Fugitive Emissions

| | TSP Actual Annual | TSP Potential to Emit | | PM10 Actual Annual | PM10 Potential to Emil | | |
|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Emission Unit | Emissions (tons/yr) | Hourly (Ibe/hr) | Annuni (tons/yr) | Emissions (tons/yr) | Hourly (Bu/hr) | Annuil (tons/yr) | |
| Open Areas | 124.1 | 39.2 | 171.6 | 62.0 | 19.6 | 85.8 | |
| Roads* | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | |
| Sum | 125.0 | 39.4 | 172.5 | 62.5 | 19.7 | 66.2 | |

1

*The Roads emission rates include a 90% control factor due to the water and chamical dust suppressants that Agrium applies to the road surfaces.

SCRAPER OPERATION Emission Calculations

Operations

| Unit | Number of Units | Actual Annual Material Handled (TPY) | Maximum Hourly Material Handled (TPH) | Maximum Armud Material Handled (TPY) |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|---|--|
| Scraper-Topsol Removal | 1 | 60,000 | 16 | 100,000 |
| Screper-Unloading | 11 | 60,000 | 16 | 100,000 |

& Basis, 100 TPY

Miscellaneous Sources Fugitive Dust Emission Factors

Scraper Topsoli Removal Fugitive Duet Emission Factor TSP Emission Factor (ib / ton) = 0.058 AP-42 Fifth Edition Table 11.9-4 (10/98)

KH 1-13-05

EFTER =

0.058 lb/ton material removed

Scraper Topsolf Removal PM10 Emission Factor PM10 Emission Factor (lb / ton) = 0.058 / 2 AP-42 Fifth Edition Table 11.9-4 (10/98)

We conservatively secured that helf of the TSP emissions associated with Scraper

Topeoil Removal would be PM10.

EFPMM =

0.029 Ib/ton material removed

Screper Unloading (Batch Drop) Fugitive Dust Emission Factor TSP Emission Factor (tb / ton) = 0.04 AP-42 Fifth Edition Table 11.9-4 (10/98)

EF

0.040 lb/ton material unloaded

Scraper Unloading (Batch Drop) PM19 Emission Factor PM10 Emission Factor (Ib / Iton) = 0.04 / 2 AP-42 Fifth Edition Table 11.9-4 (10/98)

We conservatively assumed that half of the TSP emissions associated with Scraper Unloading would be PM10.

EF_{PM10} =

0.020 lb/ton material unloaded

Continue Embolone

| | TSP Actual Annual | TSP Potential to Emit | | PM10 Actual Annual | PM10 Potential to Emit | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | Emissions (tons/yr) | Hourly (Ibe/hr) | Annual (tons/yr) | Emissions (tons/yr) | Hourly (Ibs/hr) | Annual (tons/yr) |
| Scraper-Topsoil Removal | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| Scraper-Unloading | 1.2 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| Surm | 2.9 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 2.5 |

1

MISC DUST SOURCES

Operations

| | | Ac | fuel | Maximum | | |
|---------|-------|------------------------|---------|--------------|--------------------------|--|
| Unit · | | Miles Travelled | | | | |
| | Units | per Year par Grader | | per Year per | per Yeer, All Graders | |
| | | Graper | Graders | Grader | Gradera | |
| Graders | 2 | 24,980 | 49,920 | 35,407 | 70,814 | |

VMT information provided by Agrium, 11-19-04

Operations

| Unit | Number of Units | Actual Annual Material Handled (TPY) | | Maximum Annual Material Handled (TPY) |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--|-------|---|
| Mining Front End Loaders | 1 | 6,025,966 | 1,342 | 8,879,721 |
| Shipping Front End Loaders | 2 | 761,905 | 710 | 876,190 |
| Steam Shoveling | | 9,540,528 | 1,795 | 13,426,250 |

Miscellaneous Sources Fugitive Dust Emission Fectors

Grader Fugitive Dust Emission Fectors
TSP Emission Factor (b / hr) = [k[0.040(S)*2.5]]

AP-42 Fifth Edition Table 11.9-1 (10/96)

Equation for Grading

7.1 =S, mean vehicle speed (mph), mean value from AP-42 Table 11.9-3 for Grader speed

Sample Calculation, Grader

EF (the TSP / VMT) = [0.040[7.1*2.5]]

5.37 Ib/VMT

Grader PM10 Emission Fectors PM10 Emission Factor (tb / tr) = [k[0.051(S)2.0]]

AP-42 Fifth Edition Table 11.9-1 (10/96)

Equation for Grading

0.6 =k, PM10 multiplier

7.1 =S, mean vehicle speed (mph), mean value from AP-42 Table 11.9-3 for Grader speed

Sample Calculation, Grader

EF (lbs PM10 / VMT) # k [0.061(7.1^2.0]]

EFPM10 =

1.54 Ib/VMT

Front End Loader Activity Fugitive Dust Emission Factors
TSP Emission Factor (fiblion of material handled) = k * (0.0032) * [((U/5)^1.3) / ((M/2)^1.4)]

0.74 = k, PM10 particle size multiplier

9.8 = U, mean wind speed, MPH, from Pocatello, Idaho, collected from Western Region Climate Center 4.8 = M, moleture content of material (%), although the material's moleture content is actually 12%, the moleture content range sesociated with this equation ends at 4.6 %

Semple Calculations

TSP Emission Factor (fb / ton) = $0.35 * 0.0032 * {((9.8/5)^1.3) / ((4.8/2)^1.4)}$

0.001867 to / ton of material handled

Front End Loader Activity PM10 Emission Fectors
PM10 Emission Factor (libron of meterial handled) = k * (0.0032) * (((U/5)^1.3) / ((M/2)^1.4))

0.35 = k, PM10 perticle size multiplier

9.8 = U, mean wind speed, MPH, from Pocatello, Idaho, collected from Western Region Climate Center

4.8 = M, moleture content of material (%), attrough the material's moleture content is actually 12%, the moleture content range associated with this equation ands at 4.8 %

Sample Calculations

PM10 Emission Factor (fb / ton) = 0.36 * 0.0032 * [((9.8/5)^1.3) / ((4.8/2)^1.4)]

EF_{FM19} =

0.000789 ib / ton of material handled

Steam Shoveling Fugitive Dust Emission Factors
TSP Emission Factor (libiton of metertal handled) = k * (0.0032) * (((U/5)*1.3) / ((M/2)*1.4))

0.74 = k, PM10 perticle size multiplier

9.8 = U, mean wind speed, MPH, from Pocatello, Idaho, collected from Western Region Climate Center

4.8 = M, moleture content of material (%), although the material's moleture content is actually 12%, the moleture content range associated with this equation ends at 4.8 %

Samole Calculations

TSP Emission Factor (lb / ton) = 0.35 * 0.0032 * [((0.8/5)^1.3) / ((4.8/2)^1.4)]

EFTSP =

0.001667 lb / ton of material handled

Steam Stroveling PM10 Emission Fectors
PM10 Emission Fector (bitton of material handled) = k * (0.0032) * [((U/5)^1.3) / ((M/2)^1.4)]

0.35 = k, PM10 particle size multiplier

9.8 = U, mean wind speed, MP1, from Pocatello, Idaho, collected from Western Region Climate Center

4.8 = M, moleture content of material (%), although the material's moisture content is actually 12%, the moisture content range associated with this equation ends at 4.8 %

Sample Calculations PM10 Emission Factor (lb / ton) = 0.35 * 0.0032 * $[((9.8/5)^41.3) / ((4.8/2)^41.4)]$

EFPM *

0.000789 tb / ton of material handled

Fugitive Emissions

| | TSP Actual Annual | TSP Potential to Emit | | PM10 Actual Avenuel | PM10 Potential to Emit | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | Emissions (tons/yr) | Hourly (lbs/hr) | Arrusi (tons/yr) | Emissions (tons/yr) | Hourly (Be/tv) | Annual (tons/yr) |
| Graders* | 67.1 | 19.1 | 96.1 | 19.3 | 5.5 | 27.3 |
| Wining Front End Loaders | 5.0 | 2.2 | 7.2 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 3.4 |
| Shipping Front End Loaders | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Shovel | 8.0 | 3.0 | 11.2 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 5.3 |
| Burn | 80.7 | 25.5 | 114.3 | 25.7 | 8.5 | 38.4 |

[&]quot;The grader emission rates include a 50% control factor due to the water and chemical dust suppressents that Agrium applies to the roads.

ON-SITE UNPAVED ROADS Emission Calculations

Unpeved Road Fugitive Dust Emission Factors
TSP Emission Factor (b / VMT) = (k * (s / 12)* * (W/S)*) * (386-p) / 388; AP-42 Fifth Edition 13.2.2-5 (12/03)

4.8 nt, TSP multiplier (ib / VMt)

8.4 ns, surface material all content (%), meen all content for a heul road at a Western surface coal mine, Table 13.2.2-1

101.56 nW, average weight of the vehicles traveling the road (tons)

0.7 =e, empirical constant

0.45 = b, empirical constant

90 -p, number of days with at least 0.254 mm (0.01 inch) of precipitation per year, from Figure 13.2.2-1

Sample Calculation, Haul Trucks - Waste EF (be TSP / VAR) = g4.8 * (8.4 / 12)*0.7 * (101.56 / 3)* 0.45 * (368 - 90) / 366] 14.03 B4 / VMT EFran *

Unpayed Road PM10 Emission Factors

PM10 Emission Factor (b / VMT) = [jk * (s / 12)^{[4] *} (W/3)^[4] / (M_{ey} / 0.2)^[4] | K365-p) / 365]

AP-42 FRh Edition 13.2.2-6 (12/03)

1.5 =k, PM10 multiplier (b / VMT)
 8.4 =s, surface meterial all content (%), mean all content for a haul road at a Western surface cost mins, Table 13.2.2-1
 101.56 =W, average weight of the vehicles traveling the road (tone)
 0.9 =s, empirical constant

0.45 = b, empirical constant

90 mp, number of days with at least 0.254 mm (0.01 inch) of precipitation per year, from Figure 13.2.2-1

Sample Calculation, Hauf Trucks

EF (be PM10 / VMT) = (1.5 * (8.4 / 12)*0.90 * (101.56 / 3)*0.45 * ((365 - 90) / 365) EF (be PM10 / VMT) = (1.5 * (8.4 / 12)*0.90 * (101.56 / 3)*0.45 * ((365 - 90) / 365)

EF

Vehicle Miles Traveled, All Relevant Vehicles

| | Average Weight Number of | | VMT/day për | 1 | Actual Op | ration | l | m | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| | per Vehicle | Vehicles per Type | Vehicle | Deys/week | Weeks/yr | VMT/yr, all Vehicles | Dayaye | VMTAv | VMT/yr |
| Vehicle Type | (tons) | | (VMT) | | | | | | |
| Hydraulic Shovel | 200 | 1 | 0.1 | 8 | 52 | 31 | 365 | 20 | 37 |
| Hydraulic Backhoe | 80 | 1 | 0.1 | | 52 | 31 | 365 | 20 | 37 |
| 992 Loader | 109 | 2 | 30 | 6 | 52 | 18,720 | 355 | 40 | 21,900 |
| 785 Cat Haul Truck | 275 | 8 | 50 | 6 | 52 | 124,800 | 365 | 160 | 146,000 |
| Cat 16 Patrol | 27 | 2 | 30 | 6 | 52 | 18,720 | 365 | 40 | 21,900 |
| Cat D-10 Dozer | 72 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 52 | 6,240 | 365 | 80 | 7,300 |
| Cat D-9 Dozer | 54 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 52 | 1,560 | 365 | 20 | 1,825 |
| Cat 825 RTD | 51 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 52 | 1,872 | 365 | 20 | 2,190 |
| Cat 769 Water Truck | 73 | 1 | 30 | 6 | 36 | 6,480 | 366 | 20 | 10,950 |
| IR DML Drill | 40 | 1 | 0.2 | 6 | 52 | 62 | 365 | 20 | 73 |
| Euclid R59 Water truck | 70 | 1 | 30 | 5 | 36 | 5,400 | 365 | 20 | 10,960 |
| ANFO Truck | 15 | 1 | 15 | 1 6 | 52 | 4,680 | 365 | 20 | 5,475 |
| Seeder | t | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 365 | 20 | 1,825 |
| Chinel plow | ŧ | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 365 | 20 | 1,825 |
| Lube Truck Ford | 10 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 52 | 3,120 | 366 | 20 | 3,660 |
| GMC Service Truck | 10 | 3 | 40 | 7 | 52 | 43,680 | 365 | 60 | 43,800 |
| Link Belt Crane | 30 | 1 | 0.5 | 8 | 52 | 156 | 365 | 20 | 183 |
| Weststar Lube Truck | 37 | 1 | 30 | 8 | 52 | 9,360 | 365 | 20 | 10,960 |
| RT100 Forkilk | 9 | 1 | 0.5 | 6 | 52 | 158 | 366 | 20 | 183 |
| Oekosh Snow Plow | 12 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 160 | 365 | 20 | 3,660 |
| 843 Bobost | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 52 | 312 | 365 | 20 | 365 |
| Shuttle Wagon | 14 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 26 | 468 | 365 | 20 | 1,095 |
| 4x4 Pickups | 4 | 8 | 70 | 5 | 52 | 145,600 | 365 | 160 | 204,400 |
| 446 Backhoe | 9 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 52 | 624 | 365 | 20 | 730 |
| Cat O-7 Dozer | 23 | 1 | 0.5 | 5 | 38 | 90 | 365 | 20 | 183 |
| Average Vehicle Weight* | 101.56 | tons | • | | | Actual VMT/yr | | | um VMT shicipp |
| *Average Weight Weighted by VMT | .5 | | | | | All Vehicles | | VMT/hr | VMT/yr |
| | | | | | • | 392,343 | | 920 | 501,474 |

| Fugitive Emissions | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | TSP Actual | TSP | | PM10 Actual | PM10 | |
| | Annual _ | Potential to Emit | | Annual | Potential to Emit | |
| | Emissions | Hourly | Annual | Emissions | Hourty | Annual |
| | (tone/yr) | (lbe/hr) | (tone/yr) | (tone/yr) | (lbe/hr) | (tons/yr) |
| All Vehicles | 275.3 | 1,291.0 | 351.8 | 78.5 | 366.0 | 100.3 |

These amission rates include a 90% control factor due to watering and chemical dust suppressent application.

Figure 3-4 in EPA document 450/3-88-008, Control of Open Fugilive Dust Sources, indicates that chemical dust suppressants can achieve greater than 90% control by themselves.

APPENDIX B - Modeling Review

Agrium Dry Valley Mine, Burnham Boiler

Ambient Concentrations Micrograms per Cubic Meter & Relevant NAAQS

Emission

| | Rate lb/hr | One hour | 3 Hour | 8 hour | 24 hour | annual |
|---------|------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| NO2 | 0.41 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 5.21 |
| NAAQS | | | | | | 100.00 |
| SOx | 1.57 | NA | 73.28 | NA | 43.46 | 11.49 |
| NAAQS - | | | 1300.00 | 1 | 365.00 | 80.00 |
| PM-10 | 0.68 | NA | NA | NA | 50.56 | 11.11 |
| NAAQS | | | | | 150.00 | 50.00 |
| CO | 0.09 | 3602.50 | NA | 2301.75 | NA | NA |
| NAAQS | | 40,000 | | 10,000 | | |

Modeled one hour impact, ug/m3 =

27.8

Example Calculation:

Lead emissions = 0.01 lb/hr (worst case, used oil)

Quarterly modeled impact using a persistence factor of 0.13 is

Pb = (0.01)(27.8 ug/m3)(0.13) = 0.0361 ug/m3

Lead ambient Standard = 1.5 ug/m3 quarterly average; background = 0.03 ug/m3

Therefore, the total estimated impact is 0.0361+0.03= 0.066 ug/m3

```
*** SCREEN3 MODEL RUN ***
*** VERSION DATED 95250 ***
```

FMC Dry Valley Mine -- Used Oil Boiler

COMPLEX TERRAIN INPUTS:

SOURCE TYPE = POINT EMISSION RATE (G/S) = .126000= STACK HT (M) 18.0000 STACK DIAMETER (M) =.3048 $STACK\ VELOCITY\ (M/S) =$ 5.9118 STACK GAS TEMP(K) = 659.0000AMBIENT AIR TEMP (K) = 293.0000RECEPTOR HEIGHT (M) =.0000 URBAN/RURAL OPTION = RURAL

BUOY. FLUX = $.748 \, M^{**4}/S^{**3}$; MOM. FLUX = $.361 \, M^{**4}/S^{**2}$.

FINAL STABLE PLUME HEIGHT (M) = 34.5 DISTANCE TO FINAL RISE (M) = 151.3

VALLEY 24-HR CALCS **SIMPLE TERRAIN 24-HR CALCS**

TERR MAX 24-HR PLUME HT PLUME HT

HT DIST CONC CONC ABOVE STK CONC ABOVE STK U10M USTK

(M) (M) (UG/M**3) (UG/M**3) BASE (M) (UG/M**3) HGT (M) SC (M/S)

 19.
 350.
 21.18
 1.356
 34.5
 21.18
 15.8
 4
 1.0
 1.1

 49.
 401.
 3.758
 34.5
 .0000
 .0
 0
 .0
 .0

 60.
 468.
 3.298
 3298
 34.5
 .0000
 .0
 .0
 .0
 .0

SIMPLE TERRAIN INPUTS:

SOURCE TYPE = POINT EMISSION RATE (G/S) =.126000 STACK HEIGHT (M) 18,0000 STK INSIDE DIAM (M) =.3048 STK EXIT VELOCITY (M/S)= 5.9118 STK GAS EXIT TEMP (K) = 659.0000AMBIENT AIR TEMP (K) =293,0000 RECEPTOR HEIGHT (M) =.0000 URBAN/RURAL OPTION = RURAL BUILDING HEIGHT (M) = 8.2300MIN HORIZ BLDG DIM (M) =29.3000 MAX HORIZ BLDG DIM (M) = 65.8300

STACK EXIT VELOCITY WAS CALCULATED FROM VOLUME FLOW RATE = 914.00000 (ACFM)

BUOY. FLUX = $.748 \text{ M}^{**4/S}^{**3}$; MOM. FLUX = $.361 \text{ M}^{**4/S}^{**2}$.

*** FULL METEOROLOGY ***

*** SCREEN AUTOMATED DISTANCES ***

DIST CONC U10M USTK MIX HT PLUME SIGMA SIGMA (M) (UG/M**3) STAB (M/S) (M/S) (M) HT (M) Y (M) Z (M) DWASH

1. .0000 1 1.0 1.0 320.0 31.53 .61 .48 NO

100. 22.41 4 3.0 3.3 960.0 20.26 8.34 10.62 HS

200. 21.73 4 2.0 2.2 640.0 22.89 15.73 13.93 HS

300. 20.63 4 1.5 1.6 480.0 25.52 22.81 17.11 HS

400. 18.34 4 1.5 1.6 480.0 25.52 29.61 20.03 HS

500. 15.84 4 1.5 1.6 480.0 25.52 36.27 22.87 HS

MAXIMUM 1-HR CONCENTRATION AT OR BEYOND 1. M: 83, 27.79 6 4.0 5.5 10000.0 19.78 3.75 10.00 HS

DWASH= MEANS NO CALC MADE (CONC = 0.0)
DWASH=NO MEANS NO BUILDING DOWNWASH USED
DWASH=HS MEANS HUBER-SNYDER DOWNWASH USED
DWASH=SS MEANS SCHULMAN-SCIRE DOWNWASH USED
DWASH=NA MEANS DOWNWASH NOT APPLICABLE, X<3*LB

- * SUMMARY OF TERRAIN HEIGHTS ENTERED FOR *
- * SIMPLE ELEVATED TERRAIN PROCEDURE *

TERRAIN DISTANCE RANGE (M) HT (M) MINIMUM MAXIMUM

3. 1. 500.

ALONGWIND DIM (M) = 29.30 ALONGWIND DIM (M) = 65.83

CAVITY CONC NOT CALCULATED FOR CRIT WS > 20.0 M/S. CONC SET = 0.0

*** SUMMARY OF SCREEN MODEL RESULTS ***

CALCULATION MAX CONC DIST TO TERRAIN PROCEDURE (UG/M**3) MAX (M) HT (M)

SIMPLE TERRAIN 27.79 83. 3.

COMPLEX TERRAIN 21.18 350. 19. (24-HR CONC)

APPENDIX C - AIRS INFORMATION

AIRS/AFS* FACILITY-WIDE CLASSIFICATION* DATA ENTRY FORM

Facility Name: Agrium, Dry Valley Mine
Facility Location: Soda Springs
AIRS Number: 029-00027

| AIR PROGRAM POLLUTANT | SIP | PSD | NSPS (Part 60) | NESHAP (Part 61) | MACT (Part 63) | SM80 | TITLE V | AREA CLASSIFICATION A-Attainment U-Unclassified N- Nonattainment |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------|---------|--|
| \$O₂ | В | | | | | | | υ |
| NO _x | В | | | | | | | υ |
| co | В | | | | | | | υ |
| PM ₁₀ | В | | | | | | | υ |
| PT (Particulate) | В | | В | | | | | U |
| voc | В | | | | | | | U |
| THAP (Total HAPs) | В | | | | | | | U |
| | | | APPLICABLE SUBPART | | | | | |
| | | | 000 | | | | | |

^{*} Aerometric Information Retrieval System (AIRS) Facility Subsystem (AFS)

b AIRS/AFS Classification Codes:

- A = Actual or potential emissions of a pollutant are above the applicable major source threshold. For HAPs only, class "A" is applied to each pollutant which is at or above the 10 T/yr threshold, or each pollutant that is below the 10 T/yr threshold, but contributes to a plant total in excess of 25 T/yr of all HAPs.
- SM = Potential emissions fall below applicable major source thresholds if and only if the source complies with federally enforceable regulations or limitations.
- B = Actual and potential emissions below all applicable major source thresholds.
- C = Class is unknown.
- ND = Major source thresholds are not defined (e.g., radionuclides).